# CHAPTER 2 Context-Free Languages

Outline

- Context-Free Grammars
  - definitions, examples, designing, ambiguity, Chomsky normal form
- Pushdown Automata
  - definitions, examples, equivalence with context-free grammars
- Non-Context-Free Languages
  - the pumping lemma for context-free languages

# Pushdown Automata (PDAs)

- A new type of computational model.
- It is like a NFA but has an extra component called stack.
- The stack provides additional memory beyond the finite amount available in the control.
- The stack allows pushdown automata to recognize some non-regular languages.
- Pushdown automata are equivalent in power to context-free grammars.



- A PDA can write symbols on stack and read them back later
- Writing a symbol is **pushing,** removing a symbol is **popping**
- Access to the stack, for both reading and writing, may be done only at the **top** (last in, first out)
- A stack is valuable because it can hold an unlimited amount of information.

### Example

- Consider the language  $\{0^n 1^n : n \ge 0\}.$
- Finite automaton is unable to recognize this language.
- A PDA is able to do this.

Informal description how the PDA for this language works.

- Read symbols from the input.
- As each 0 is read, push it into the stack.
- As soon as 1s are seen, pop a 0 off the stack for each 1 read.
- If reading the input is finished exactly when the stack becomes empty of *Os*, accept the input.
- If the stack becomes empty while 1s remain or
- if the 1s are finished while the stack still contains 0s or
- if any *0*s appear in the input following *1*s,

reject the input.

### Formal Definition of PDAs

#### • A *pushdown automaton* (PDA) is specified by a 6-tuple

$(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma \partial, q_0, F)$	) whe	ere	
Q	,	is a finite set of states,	Non-deterministic
Σ		is a finite input alphabet,	Is a collection of all subsets
Г		is a finite stack alphabet,	$\Sigma_{\varepsilon} = \Sigma \bigcup \{ \varepsilon \}$
$\frac{\delta: Q \times \Sigma_{\varepsilon} \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon}}{\Delta} \rightarrow$	$P(Q \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon})$	is the transition function,	$\Gamma_{\varepsilon} = \Gamma \bigcup \{\varepsilon\}$
$q_0 \in Q$		is the initial state,	
$F \subseteq Q$		is the set of final states.	

• It computes as follows: it *accepts* input *w* if *w* can be written as  $w = w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$ , where each  $w_i \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  and a sequence of states  $r_0, r_1, r_2, ..., r_n \in Q$  and strings  $s_0, s_1, s_2, ..., s_n \in \Gamma^*$  exist that satisfy the next three conditions (the strings  $s_i$ represent the sequence of stack contents that PDA has on the accepting branch of the computation. 1.  $r_0 = q_0, s_0 = \varepsilon$ 

2. 
$$(r_{i+1}, b) \in \delta(r_i, w_{i+1}, a), i = 0, ..., n-1,$$
  
where  $s_i = at, s_{i+1} = bt$  for some  $a, b \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$  and  $t \in \Gamma^*$ .  
3.  $r_n \in F$ 

#### Example

• Consider the language  $\{0^n 1^n : n \ge 0\}$ .  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma \delta, q1, F)$ 

$Q = \{q1, q2, q3, q4\}$	}	Input:		0		1			ε	
$\Sigma = \{0,1\}$		Stack:	0	\$	E	0	\$ ε	0	\$	E
$\Gamma = \{0, \$\}$ $F = \{q1, q4\}$	<i>§</i> :	q1 q2 q3	ØØØ		{(q2,0)}	$\{(q3, E)\}$ $\{(q3, E)\}$			$\{(a4, \mathcal{E})\}$	{(q2,\$)}
		$q^{q}$	õ			((1-, ))				Ø

 $a, b \rightarrow c$  : when the machine is reading an *a* from the input it may replace the symbol *b* on the top of stack with a *c*. Any of *a,b*, and *c* may be  $\varepsilon$ .

a is  $\varepsilon$ , the machine may take this transition without reading any input symbol.

**b** is  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , the machine may take this transition without reading and popping any stack symbol.

c is  $\mathcal{E}$ , the machine does not write any symbol on the stack when going along this transition.



#### More Examples

• Language  $\{a^i b^j c^k : i, j, k \ge 0 \text{ and } i = j \text{ or } i = k\}.$ 



• Language  $\{ww^R : w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ .



# **Equivalence** with Context-free Grammars

- Context-free grammars and pushdown automata are equivalent in their descriptive power. Both describe the class of context-free languages.
- Any context-free grammar can be converted into a pushdown automaton that recognizes the same language, and vice versa.
- We will prove the following result

*Theorem.* A language is context-free if and only if some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

• This theorem has two directions. We state each direction as a separate lemma.

*Lemma 1.* If a language is context-free, then some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

- We have a context-free grammar G describing the context-free language L.
- We show how to convert G into an equivalent PDA P.
- The PDA *P* will accept string *w* iff *G* generates *w*, i.e., if there is a leftmost derivation for *w*.
- Recall that a derivation is simply the sequence of substitution made as a grammar generates a string.  $S \rightarrow AS \mid \varepsilon$

• 
$$S \Rightarrow_{\overline{lm}} AS \Rightarrow_{\overline{lm}} A1S \Rightarrow_{\overline{lm}} 011S \Rightarrow_{\overline{lm}} 011AS \Rightarrow_{\overline{lm}} 0110A1S \Rightarrow_{\overline{lm}} 0110011S \Rightarrow_{\overline{lm}} 0110011S$$

 $A \rightarrow 0A1 | A1 | 01$ 

#### How do we check that G generates 0110011?

 $S \rightarrow AS \mid \varepsilon$  $A \rightarrow 0A1 \mid A1 \mid 01$  $0A11S \Rightarrow 0A111S$  ${\cal E}$ •  $S \Rightarrow AS \Rightarrow A1S \Rightarrow 011S \Rightarrow 011AS \Rightarrow 0110A1S \Rightarrow 0110011S \Rightarrow 0110011$ lm lm lm lm lm lm lm A11S **Promising variants**  $0A1S \Rightarrow 0A11S$  $\rightarrow 00A11S$ Idea **▲**0011*S* • We can use stack to store an intermediate string of variables and terminals. • It is better to keep only part (suffix) of the intermediate string, the symbols starting with the first  $01S \Rightarrow 011$ variable.  $01AS \Rightarrow \dots$ • Any terminal symbols appearing before the first variable are matched immediately with symbols in the input string. • Use non-determinism, make copies.

# Informal description of PDA P

- 1. Place the marker symbol \$ and the start symbol on the stack.
- 2. Repeat the following steps forever.

a) If top of stack is a variable symbol A, non-deterministically select one of the rules for A and substitute A by the string on the right-hand side of the rule.

b) If the top of stack is terminal symbol *a*, read the next symbol from the input and compare it to *a*. If they match, pop *a* and repeat. If they do not match, reject on this branch of the non-determinism.

c) If the top of the stack is the symbol \$, enter the accept state. Doing so accepts the input if it has all been read.



#### Construction of PDA P



#### Example

 $\begin{array}{c} S \rightarrow aTb \mid b \\ T \rightarrow Ta \mid \varepsilon \end{array}$ 



#### **Equivalence** with Context-free Grammars

• We are working on the proof of the following result

*Theorem.* A language is context-free if and only if some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

• We have proved

*Lemma 1.* If a language is context-free, then some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

- We have shown how to convert a given CFG G into an equivalent PDA P.
- Now we will consider the other direction

*Lemma 2.* If a pushdown automaton recognizes some language, then it is context-free.

• We have a PDA *P*, and want to create a CFG *G* that generates all strings that *P* accepts.

• That is G should generate a string if that string causes the PDA to go from its start state to an accept state (*takes* P from start state to an accept state).



- string 000111 takes P from start state to a finite state;
- string 00011 does not.

# Design a Grammar

- Let *P* be an arbitrary PDA.
- For each pair of states p and q in P the grammar will contain a variable  $A_{pq}$
- This variable will generate all strings that can take *P* from state *p* with empty stack to *q* with an empty stack
- Clearly, all those strings can also take *P* from *p* to *q*, regardless of the stack contents at *p*, leaving the stack at *q* in the same condition as it was at *p*.

# Design a Grammar (cont.)

First we modify *P* slightly to give it the following three features.

1. It has a single accept state,  $q_{accept}$ .





- 2. It empties its stack before accepting.
- 3. Each transition either pushes a symbol onto stack (a *push* move) or pops one off the stack (a *pop* move), but does not do both at the same time.

# Design a Grammar (ideas)

- For any string x that take P from p to q, starting and ending with an empty stack, P's first move on x must be a push; the last move on x must be a pop. (Why?)
- If the symbol pushed at the beginning is the symbol popped at the end, the stack is empty only at the beginning and the end of *P*'s computation on *x*.
  - We simulate this by the rule  $A_{pq} \rightarrow aA_{rs}b$ , where *a* is the input symbol read at the first move, *b* is the symbol read at the last move, *r* is the state following *p*, and *s* the state preceding *q*.



- Else, the initially pushed symbol must get popped at some point before the end of x, and thus the stack becomes empty at this point.
  - We simulate this by the rule  $A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$ , *r* is the state when the stack becomes empty.

#### Formal Design

- Let  $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, \{q_{accept}\}).$
- We construct G as follows.
  - The variables are  $\{A_{pq} : p, q \in Q\}$
  - The start variable is  $A_{q_0q_{accept}}$
  - Rules:





**put the rule**  $A_{pq} \rightarrow aA_{rs}b$  in G.

- For each p,q,r from  $Q_{,,}$  put the rule  $A_{pq} \rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$  in  $G_{,}$
- For each *p* from *Q*,, **put the rule**  $A_{pp} \rightarrow \varepsilon$  in *G*.